

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF RESPONSE

RESPONSE REF:	COMMENTS	STAFF COMMENTS
QO001/1 (Member 1)	It appears it will improve safety greatly to me.	-
QP001/2 (Member 2)	it will improve safety greatly to me.	-
QP001/3 (Member 3)	These proposals seem to be a good idea.	-
QP001/4 (Member 4)	It seems proposals for road humps on our high roads are gathering pace and are being drawn up for the rest of the borough too. This is being done as a safety measure to reduce speeds, but before we progress further these should be a review of the impact of this policy on safety, vibrations on adjoining properties and air quality. Slowing the traffic on the presumption that in itself is a good idea is a mistake without reviewing its impact, because road humps can create congestion and make roads more dangerous.	Staff considered that the proposals would improve safety and minimise accidents. Although the speed tables may cause slight noise when the vehicles go over them. It should not cause significant problems.
QP001/5 (Head Teacher, St Mary's Catholic Primary School)	I wholeheartedly welcome the measures as the speed of traffic using this busy arterial has long been of concern for our school community and the risk of a road traffic collision is a very real danger for our pupils. I believe the installation of the tables will serve to reduce the traffic speed at important points on the road. We have long been asking for the installation of a pedestrian barrier on the pavement between our access gates, outside the school to further protect the pavement users is something that may be considered in these improvements?	The pedestrian guardrails will be installed between school access gates as part of this scheme.
QP001/6 (Havering Cyclists)	Looks good to me All I can ask for is that the tables and humps are designed to be cyclist friendly.	The construction of the speed tables will be cyclist friendly.
QP001/7 (London Buses, Infrastructure)	The table on drawing 1 looks to be too close to the shop. We have two routes shopping here, a second bus would stop on the table rendering it inaccessible.	As part of this scheme, the bus stop clearway will be extended.
QP001/8 (The resident of 94 Hornchurch Road)	Although you answered most of my concerns. I feel I need to follow up in writing so that it helps you make recommendations to the committee before this is approved. I welcome traffic calming if it will slow the	As a result of public consultation, the traffic island at the existing zebra crossing will be retained. Staff

	<p>traffic down and make them more aware, but I feel that the island on this crossing is very important. I believe that this pedestrian crossing is in the wrong place and should be moved down to between 80/84 Hornchurch Road.</p>	<p>considered that it is not necessary to move the existing zebra crossing as the crossing is in the pedestrian desire line and another pedestrian refuge is located close to the properties 80/84.</p>
<p>QP001/9 (Local resident)</p>	<p>Hornchurch Road is an absolute traffic hell hole. I live there-at the spot of one of the proposed speed tables as it happens and traffic here is ridiculous. At busy periods it can take several minutes just to reach the traffic lights that lead into the town centre. While I appreciate that speeding is an issue, there is simply too much traffic down here for it to be an issue. With addition of speed bumps and speed tables, the traffic would only get worse, making it even worse to drive a short way.</p> <p>Despite your stats on speeding this road is simply not clear enough for any length of time for it to be a major problem.</p>	<p>Staff considered that the proposed safety improvement would improve safety and minimise accidents along in this stretch of road. The proposed safety improvements would not increase delays significantly.</p>
<p>QP001/10 (London Fire Brigade)</p>	<p>Main concerns for these proposals would be the significant reduction of attendance times for our appliances attending incidents to the west side of Hornchurch or additional appliance coming from Dagenham into Hornchurch. This route is quite often a main route for our appliances. From experience of using this road, it can become congested during rush hour periods and with the introduction of the speed ramps, this can only increase the delay. Has the possibility of speed cameras been looked at an alternative measure?</p>	<p>London Safety Camera Partnership is responsible for the selection, maintenance and installation of speed cameras. Even if speed cameras install, the Council need to provide funding to maintain these cameras annually. Although the proposals may cause minor delays, the safety benefits are far greater and would outweigh any dis benefits.</p>
<p>QP001/11 (The resident of 96,Hornchurch Road)</p>	<p>The raised hump zebra proposal is right outside our house total madness. The level of traffic noise is already un-bearable it is continues through most of the night and the main traffic of lorries etc who use Hornchurch Road instead of the A13 starts at 5am in the morning which rumble past</p>	<p>Staff considered that the proposed raised humped zebra crossing would not increase significantly.</p>

	<p>very loud. If you put a raised zebra in place of what is already in place the noise level will be even more un-bearable. My husband who is not in good health, and I are both retired and have lived here for over 35 years and we didn't expect to be subjected to even more noise.</p>	
<p>QP001/12 (The resident of Grosvenor stores)</p>	<p>One problem I can see would be emergency services, they use this road constantly at fairly high speeds. The second thought would be the speed table outside our property 74, which is right on the corner. We can see with busses and other vehicles turning out of and into Grosvenor Drive.</p>	<p>Staff considered that the proposed measures would reduce vehicle speeds and minimise accidents. The proposed speed table near Grosvenor Drive would not cause a significant problem for turning vehicles.</p>